1	NICOLA T. HANNA United States Attorney LAWRENCE S. MIDDLETON Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Criminal Division POONAM G. KUMAR (Cal. Bar No. 270802) Assistant United States Attorney Major Frauds Section JOSEPH D. AXELRAD (Cal. Bar No. 274580) Assistant United States Attorney Violent & Organized Crime Section	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	1300 United States Courthouse 312 North Spring Street	
8	Los Angeles, California 90012 Telephone: (213) 894-7964	2
9	Facsimile: (213) 894-0141 E-mail: joseph.axelrad@us	doi.gov
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
	_	
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. CR 18-529-MWF
15	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL DATE AND FINDINGS REGARDING
16	V.	EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS PURSUANT TO SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
17	FEMI FELIX-UKWU, ARNOLD NICHOLS II,	[PROPOSED] TRIAL DATE: 04-13-21
18	KEMILE JEMISON, MONIQUE FERGUSON, and	
19	ALPHONSO LLOYD,	
20	Defendants.	
21		
22		
23	The Court has read and considered the Stipulation Regarding	
24	Request for (1) Continuance of Trial Date and (2) Findings of	
25	Excludable Time Periods Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the	
26	parties in this matter on September 1, 2020. The Court hereby finds	
27	that the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into	

this Order, demonstrates facts that support a continuance of the

27

28

trial date in this matter, and provides good cause for a finding of excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and defendant in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible, or result in a miscarriage of justice; (iii) failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny defendant continuity of counsel and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence; and (iv) all defendants joined for trial.

THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

- 1. The trial in this matter is continued from September 8, 2020, to April 13, 2021. The briefing schedule for any motions shall be: motions due by March 12, 2021, oppositions due by March 26, 2021, and replies due by April 2, 2021. A motion hearing on any such motions will be held on April 9, 2021.
- 2. The time period of September 8, 2020, to April 13, 2021, inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which the trial must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(6), (h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i), and (B)(iv).
- 3. Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excluded from the period within which trial must

25 | //

б

26 | //

27 | //

commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of additional time periods from the period within which trial must commence. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATE HONORABLE MICHAEL W. FITZGERALD UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE Presented by: /s/ JOSEPH D. AXELRAD Assistant United States Attorney